



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,497	07/01/2003	Robert E. Roberts JR.	5787-03102	3345
35690	7590	05/22/2006		
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. 700 LAVACA, SUITE 800 AUSTIN, TX 78701				
			EXAMINER CROW, STEPHEN R	
			ART UNIT 3764	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ^e
---------------------------------	-------------	---	----------------------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20060515

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See the attached Office Response.

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 2-27-06 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

Applicant has cited an extraordinary number of references (more than 13 IDS) in the submitted information disclosure statements. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.105 and MPEP 704, applicant (or the assignee) is required (1) to provide an explanation as to why each reference has been cited, (2) what specific feature in each reference is pertinent to respective limitations in the claims, and (3) how each reference cited defines over the claim(s) wherein each of (1), (2), and (3) should be meaningfully different. A simple statement that each of the references are relevant will not be sufficient. If applicant deems certain of the references cited to not be relevant, applicant should submit a new IDS containing only references that are pertinent to the examination of this application. In so doing, applicant is still required to provide each of (1), (2), and (3) above. This requirement is reasonably necessary for examination in light of the extraordinary number of cited references by applicant. Because the applicant (or the assignee) has presumably inspected all of the listed citations, it is reasonable to require the applicant to provide the information needed so that the most relevant citations are fully considered.

2. Applicant states that all of the references submitted by Applicant should be considered, but goes on to state that eight references are relevant. If Applicant wants all the references considered, then Applicant must follow the above mentioned requirement.

If Applicant wants only the eight mentioned references considered, or a reasonable number of references, then a new IDS listing only those references must be submitted.

Art Unit: 3764

The examiner will not go through 13 or more ID Statements looking for the chosen references.

3. . See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).



STEPHEN R. CROW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 332